

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,242	10/30/2001	Shigeru Yokono	112857-250	7869
29175 7	590 10/04/2005		EXAM	INER
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P. O. BOX 1135			TRAN, PHILIP B	
· CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Transacra				
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Superson	10/016,242	YOKONO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip B. Tran	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	October 2001.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 27-29 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	,			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documer Certified copies of the priority documer 		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		· ·			
application from the International Burea	-	Trocorrod III line Mallonal Glage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• "	received.			
Attachment(s)					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	ا الا عادات (د	miormai Faterit Application (FTO-104)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 2. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, the claimed invention lacks patentable utility, and the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility.

"Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data. When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored in a computer-readable medium, in a computer, on an electromagnetic carrier signal does not make it statutory. See *Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 185-86* (noting that the claims for an algorithm in *Benson* were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer."). Such a result would exalt form over substance. *In re Sarkar, 588 F.2d 1330, 1333, 200 USPQ 132, 137 (CCPA 1978)* ("[E]ach invention must be evaluated as claimed; yet semantogenic considerations preclude a determination based solely on

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050927

words appearing in the claims. In the final analysis under 101, the claimed invention, as a whole, must be evaluated for what it is.") (quoted with approval in *Abele, 684 F.2d at 907, 214 USPQ at 687*). See also *In re Johnson, 589 F2d 1070, 1077, 200 USPQ 199, 206 (CCPA 1978)* ("form of the claim is often an exercise in drafting"). Thus, nonstatutory music is not a computer component and it does not become statutory by merely recording it on a compact disk. Protection for this type of work is provided under the copyright law. When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Regarding claims 27-29, claims 27-29 describe a recording medium comprising a first recording area, a second recording area, a third recording area and a fourth recording area in which information can be recorded. This type of claim does not meet statutory requirements as set forth in the MPEP § 2106. These claims do not require any interaction with associated hardware or functional state change of associated hardware components required for statutory compliance. Where certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, are merely stored so as to be read or outputted by a computer without creating any functional interrelationship, either as part of the stored data or as part of the computing processes performed by the computer, then such

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050927

descriptive material alone does not impart functionality either to the data as so structured, or to the computer. See MPEP § 2106(IV)(B)(1)(B).

No imparted functionality between the medium and the computer has been recited or identified, nor any interrelationship between the claimed recording areas and any functional activity of the computer has been recited, resulting in completely nonfunctional material. In short, the invention as set forth in claims 27-29 does not DO anything, and exemplifies non-statutory subject matter. There is no manipulation of data nor there is any transformation of data from one state to another being performed. Actually, there is no post-computer process activity found. Thus, no physical transformation is performed, and no practical application is found. Such an inputting and arithmetic manipulation of data is insufficient practical application to qualify the invention as disclosed and claimed to patent protection. *In re Alappat, 31 USPQ 2d @ 1556-57* (not until the concept is reduced to some type of practical application, the subject matter is not entitled to patent protection).

Also the claims do not appear to correspond to a specific machine or manufacture disclosed within the specification and thus encompass any product of the class configured in any manner to perform the underlying process. Consequently, the claims 27-29 are analyzed based upon the underlying process and thus rejected as being directed to a non-statutory process.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050927

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakashima et al (Hereafter, Nakashima), U.S. Pat. No. 5,930,825.

Regarding claims 27-29, Nakashima teaches a recording medium comprising: a first recording area in which are recorded discrimination code information which enables the medium to be recognized as a medium adapted to a certain downloading system, download identification information for designating information to be downloaded, and one of items of serial number information set for a plurality of the recording mediums and a second recording area in which user identification information freely set by a user can be recorded, and a third area in which information can be recorded as use record information about various processings executed by the downloading system when the

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050927

recording medium is loaded in the downloading system to which the recording medium is adapted (= recording medium has a medium ID information storing area in a user data area for identification of the recording medium on which software/data is recorded) [see Abstract and Fig. 23 and Col. 14, Col. 60 to Col. 15, Line 43 and Col. 16, Line 56 to Col. 17, Line 20].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050927

6. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakashima et al (Hereafter, Nakashima), U.S. Pat. No. 5,930,825 in view of Schoen et al (Hereafter, Schoen), U.S. Pat. No. 5,592,511.

Regarding claim 29, Nakashima does not explicitly a fourth area in which information can be recorded as fee record information of fees charged with respect to various processings executed by the downloading system when the recording medium is loaded in the downloading system to which the recording medium is adapted.

However, Schoen, in the same field of recording data on a recording medium endeavor, discloses order/billings information storing on a recording medium [see Schoen,

Abstract and Fig. 1 and Col. 3, Lines 8-48]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Schoen into the teachings of Nakashima in order to record billings data and protect billings data from unauthorized access.

Other References Cited

7. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

ļ

- A) Katayama et al, U.S. Pat. No. 5,715,105.
- B) Nagahama, U.S. Pat. No. 5,636,277.
- C) Takahashi et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,195,432.
- D) Shirakawa et al, U.S. Pat. No. 5,949,953.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050927

- E) Oshima et al, U.S. Pat. No. 5,805,551.
- F) Ohmori, U.S. Pat. No. 5,687,397.
- G) Kurihara, U. S. Pat. No. 5,784,609.
- H) Kurihara, U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,771.
- I) Yamamoto et al, U.S. Pat. No. 5,740,435.
- J) Sako et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,215,745.
- 8. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (703) 872-9306. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050927

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip B. Tran
Art Unit 2155

September 29, 2005